Introduction to High Performance Computing

\\

SDS406 – Fall semester, 2024 - 2025

//

L12: Further Optimization Topics, 16th December 2024

Strong scaling of the MPI-parallelized heat equation solution

- \Rightarrow Use at most two nodes, 32 processes per node
- \Rightarrow Plot against inverse time-to-solution rather than speed-up
- \Rightarrow Scale both parallelizations over x and y separately
- \Rightarrow Include in the plot the scalar version of at $n_{proc}=1$



n _{MPI}	par-x [s $^{-1}$]	par-x [s]	par-y [s $^{-1}$]	par-y [s]
1	0.060	16.622	0.059	16.940
2	0.119	8.432	0.117	8.566
4	0.225	4.446	0.222	4.497
8	0.434	2.306	0.426	2.349
16	0.699	1.430	0.702	1.424
32	1.301	0.769	1.321	0.757
64	2.331	0.429	2.482	0.403

• Scalar, t = 11.2 s \Rightarrow t⁻¹ = 0.09 s⁻¹

Outline

A closer look at the heat-equation

- Heat equation code
 - Scalar code
 - Add OpenMP
 - Implement a set of further optimization strategies
- Parallel program using MPI
 - $\circ~$ Start from optimized CPU code and implement MPI ~
 - Mix OpenMP and MPI
 - Evaluate impact of MPI

ex01 implements the scalar heat equation

[ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ mkdir l12 [ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ cd l12 [ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ cp -r /onyx/data/sds406f24/l12/ex01 . [ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ cd ex01/

- Use module load gompi/2023a
- Compile with -03
- Run with srun on the p100 partition. Use L=256, T=6000, alpha=0.2
- Backup v.bin as a reference. We will be comparing outputs to it as we go along, checking our code as we optimize it.

ex01 implements the scalar heat equation

[ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ mkdir l12 [ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ cd l12 [ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ cp -r /onyx/data/sds406f24/l12/ex01 . [ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ cd ex01/

- Use module load gompi/2023a
- Compile with -03
- Run with srun on the p100 partition. Use L=256, T=6000, alpha=0.2
- Backup v.bin as a reference. We will be comparing outputs to it as we go along, checking our code as we optimize it.

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ module load gompi/2023a [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 L = 256 T = 6000 t0 = 8.849914 sec [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ mv v.bin v-reference.bin

ex01 implements the scalar heat equation

[ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ mkdir l12 [ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ cd l12 [ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ cp -r /onyx/data/sds406f24/l12/ex01 . [ikoutsou@front02 SDS406]\$ cd ex01/

- Use module load gompi/2023a
- Compile with -03
- Run with srun on the p100 partition. Use L=256, T=6000, alpha=0.2
- Backup v.bin as a reference. We will be comparing outputs to it as we go along, checking our code as we optimize it.

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ module load gompi/2023a [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -03 -0 heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 L = 256 T = 6000 t0 = 8.849914 sec [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ mv v.bin v-reference.bin

Note that this original version takes ~9 seconds

In this part, we will enable OpenMP and report the sustained floating-point performance and bandwidth to memory

• Include the omp.h header file

- Include the omp.h header file
- Add pragma omp parallel for above the for-loops of the update() and boundary_condition() functions

- Include the omp.h header file
- Add pragma omp parallel for above the for-loops of the update() and boundary_condition() functions
- Report the number of threads, floating-point performance, and sustained bandwidth

- Include the omp.h header file
- Add pragma omp parallel for above the for-loops of the update() and boundary_condition() functions
- Report the number of threads, floating-point performance, and sustained bandwidth
- Add -fopenmp when compiling

Compile and run with a single OpenMP thread:

Compile and run with a single OpenMP thread:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ OMP_NUM_THREADS=1 srun -n 1 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 1 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 8.633438 sec | p = 2.733e-01 Gflop/s | b = 7.330e-01 GB/s

Compile and run with a single OpenMP thread:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ OMP_NUM_THREADS=1 srun -n 1 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 1 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 8.633438 sec | p = 2.733e-01 Gflop/s | b = 7.330e-01 GB/s

Bandwidth is less than 1 GBytes/s, where peak is \sim 80 GBytes/s, i.e. we are obtaining performance at less than 2% of peak.

Compile and run with a single OpenMP thread:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ OMP_NUM_THREADS=1 srun -n 1 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 1 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 8.633438 sec | p = 2.733e-01 Gflop/s | b = 7.330e-01 GB/s

Bandwidth is less than 1 GBytes/s, where peak is \sim 80 GBytes/s, i.e. we are obtaining performance at less than 2% of peak.

Run with 16 OpenMP threads on a single socket:

Task A of ex01

Compile and run with a single OpenMP thread:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ OMP_NUM_THREADS=1 srun -n 1 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2
nthr = 1 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 8.633438 sec | p = 2.733e-01 Gflop/s | b = 7.330e-01 GB/s

Bandwidth is less than 1 GBytes/s, where peak is \sim 80 GBytes/s, i.e. we are obtaining performance at less than 2% of peak.

Run with 16 OpenMP threads on a single socket:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ export OMP_PROC_BIND=true [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ export OMP_PLACES="{0}:16:1" [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=16 [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 1.152561 sec | p = 2.047e+00 Gflop/s | b = 5.491e+00 GB/s

Task A of ex01

Compile and run with a single OpenMP thread:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ OMP_NUM_THREADS=1 srun -n 1 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2
nthr = 1 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 8.633438 sec | p = 2.733e-01 Gflop/s | b = 7.330e-01 GB/s

Bandwidth is less than 1 GBytes/s, where peak is \sim 80 GBytes/s, i.e. we are obtaining performance at less than 2% of peak.

Run with 16 OpenMP threads on a single socket:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ export OMP_PROC_BIND=true [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ export OMP_PLACES="{0}:16:1" [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=16 [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 1.152561 sec | p = 2.047e+00 Gflop/s | b = 5.491e+00 GB/s

This is ~6% of single-socket peak bandwidth. We will first optimize this CPU version before adding MPI

In update(), we are allocating and freeing a temporary array in each call

```
In update(), we are allocating and freeing a temporary array in each call
```

```
void
update(double *v, double alpha)
{
   /* Allocate a temporary field */
   double *v0 = ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L);
   /* Copy current field to temporary field */
   memcpy(v0, v, L*L*sizeof(double));
   ...
   /* Don't need temporary field any more */
   free(v0);
```

return;

```
In update(), we are allocating and freeing a temporary array in each call
```

```
void
update(double *v, double alpha)
{
    /* Allocate a temporary field */
    double *v0 = ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L);
    /* Copy current field to temporary field */
    memcpy(v0, v, L*L*sizeof(double));
    ...
    /* Don't need temporary field any more */
    free(v0);
    return;
}
```

This may be suboptimal. Change update() to accept an input and output array v1 and v0:

```
In update(), we are allocating and freeing a temporary array in each call
```

```
void
update(double *v, double alpha)
{
    /* Allocate a temporary field */
    double *v0 = ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L);
    /* Copy current field to temporary field */
    memcpy(v0, v, L*L*sizeof(double));
    ...
    /* Don't need temporary field any more */
    free(v0);
    return;
}
```

This may be suboptimal. Change <code>update()</code> to accept an input and output array v1 and v0:

```
void
update(double *v1, double *v0, double alpha)
{
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for(int y=0; y<L; y++)
      for(int x=0; x<L; x++) {
            ...
            v1[r00] = (1-4*alpha)*v0[r00] + ...;
```

We now need to allocate two arrays in the main program, and call update() alternating between input and output

We now need to allocate two arrays in the main program, and call update() alternating between input and output

One way to do this:

1. Allocate a two-component array of arrays:

```
double *v[2] = {
   ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L),
   ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L)
};
```

We now need to allocate two arrays in the main program, and call update() alternating between input and output

One way to do this:

1. Allocate a two-component array of arrays:

```
double *v[2] = {
   ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L),
   ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L)
};
```

2. Initialize the first one:

```
initial_condition(v[0]);
```

We now need to allocate two arrays in the main program, and call update() alternating between input and output

One way to do this:

1. Allocate a two-component array of arrays:

```
double *v[2] = {
   ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L),
   ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L)
};
```

2. Initialize the first one:

initial_condition(v[0]);

3. Alternate between them in the loop:

```
for(int i=0; i<T; i++) {
    update(v[(i + 1) % 2], v[i % 2], alpha);
    boundary_condition(v[(i + 1) % 2]);
}</pre>
```

We now need to allocate two arrays in the main program, and call update() alternating between input and output

One way to do this:

1. Allocate a two-component array of arrays:

```
double *v[2] = {
    ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L),
    ualloc(sizeof(double)*L*L)
};
```

2. Initialize the first one:

initial_condition(v[0]);

3. Alternate between them in the loop:

```
for(int i=0; i<T; i++) {
    update(v[(i + 1) % 2], v[i % 2], alpha);
    boundary_condition(v[(i + 1) % 2]);
}</pre>
```

4. The last iteration is i=T-1 and therefore the last update stores the result in v[(T-1+1) % 2] = v[T % 2], so:

```
write_bin("v.bin", v[T % 2]);
```

Remember to free both at the end:

free(v[0]);
free(v[1]);

Remember to free both at the end:

free(v[0]);
free(v[1]);

Compile and run using 16 OpenMP threads like the last time:

Remember to free both at the end:

free(v[0]);
free(v[1]);

Compile and run using 16 OpenMP threads like the last time:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2
nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.739561 sec | p = 3.190e+00 Gflop/s | b = 8.557e+00 GB/s

Remember to free both at the end:

free(v[0]);
free(v[1]);

Compile and run using 16 OpenMP threads like the last time:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2
nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.739561 sec | p = 3.190e+00 Gflop/s | b = 8.557e+00 GB/s

We're closer to ~10% of peak. Better, but still some improvement to be gained

Remember to free both at the end:

free(v[0]);
free(v[1]);

Compile and run using 16 OpenMP threads like the last time:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2
nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.739561 sec | p = 3.190e+00 Gflop/s | b = 8.557e+00 GB/s

We're closer to ~10% of peak. Better, but still some improvement to be gained

Now may be a good time to check our result:

```
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]$ cmp v.bin v-reference.bin
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]$
```

Remember to free both at the end:

free(v[0]);
free(v[1]);

Compile and run using 16 OpenMP threads like the last time:

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2
nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.739561 sec | p = 3.190e+00 Gflop/s | b = 8.557e+00 GB/s

We're closer to ~10% of peak. Better, but still some improvement to be gained

Now may be a good time to check our result:

```
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]$ cmp v.bin v-reference.bin
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]$
```

No output means the two files are bit-identical

Now let's reconsider the use of IDX()

Now let's reconsider the use of IDX()

• While convenient in improving code readability, there are two issues that affect performance when using this macro:

Now let's reconsider the use of IDX()

- While convenient in improving code readability, there are two issues that affect performance when using this macro:
 - The modulo operations may break the CPUs prefetcher

Now let's reconsider the use of IDX()

- While convenient in improving code readability, there are two issues that affect performance when using this macro:
 - The modulo operations may break the CPUs prefetcher
 - The number of modulo operations is quite large; larger than the number of floating point operations per iteration

Now let's reconsider the use of IDX()

- While convenient in improving code readability, there are two issues that affect performance when using this macro:
 - The modulo operations may break the CPUs prefetcher
 - The number of modulo operations is quite large; larger than the number of floating point operations per iteration
- 1. We will break the x-loop; a main loop for the inner elements and then separate x=0 and x=L-1 cases

2. We will pre-compute repeated factors, like y*L, (y+1)*L, and (y-1)*L

Now let's reconsider the use of IDX()

- While convenient in improving code readability, there are two issues that affect performance when using this macro:
 - The modulo operations may break the CPUs prefetcher
 - The number of modulo operations is quite large; larger than the number of floating point operations per iteration

1. We will break the x-loop; a main loop for the inner elements and then separate x=0 and x=L-1 cases

2. We will pre-compute repeated factors, like y*L, (y+1)*L, and (y-1)*L

```
Within boundary_condition()
```

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for(int y=0; y<L; y++) {
    v[y*L] = 1.0;
    v[y*L + L-1] = 1.0;
}
#pragma omp parallel for
for(int x=0; x<L; x++) {
    v[L*(L/2) + x] = 1.0;
}
```

• Loop for inner elements of x in update():

• Parts for x=0 and x=L-1 done separately

```
/* x = 0 */
    int r00 = y0L;
    int r0p = y0L+1;
   int r0m = y0L+(L-1);
   int rp0 = ypL;
   int rm0 = ymL;
   v1[r00] = (1-4*alpha)*v0[r00] + 
              alpha*(v0[rp0] + v0[rm0] + v0[r0p] + v0[r0m]);
    int r00 = y0L+(L-1);
    int r0p = y0L;
    int r0m = y0L+(L-2);
    int rp0 = ypL+(L-1);
    int rm0 = ymL+(L-1);
    v1[r00] = (1-4*alpha)*v0[r00] + \
              alpha*(v0[rp0] + v0[rm0] + v0[r0p] + v0[r0m]);
} /* \longleftarrow this bracket closes the y'-loop */
```

Compile and run using 16 OpenMP threads like before

Compile and run using 16 OpenMP threads like before

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.090990 sec | p = 2.593e+01 Gflop/s | b = 6.955e+01 GB/s

Compile and run using 16 OpenMP threads like before

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.090990 sec | p = 2.593e+01 Gflop/s | b = 6.955e+01 GB/s

• Check the result with cmp and the reference output file!

Compile and run using 16 OpenMP threads like before

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.090990 sec | p = 2.593e+01 Gflop/s | b = 6.955e+01 GB/s

- Check the result with cmp and the reference output file!
- Compare ~70 GB/s to theoretical peak BW of ~80 GB/s

The final optimization will be to avoid forking and joining the OpenMP threads in each iteration.

The final optimization will be to avoid forking and joining the OpenMP threads in each iteration.

• Use #pragma omp parallel before the T-loop in the main program

The final optimization will be to avoid forking and joining the OpenMP threads in each iteration.

- Use #pragma omp parallel before the T-loop in the main program
- Use #praga omp for above the for-loops

The final optimization will be to avoid forking and joining the OpenMP threads in each iteration.

- Use #pragma omp parallel before the T-loop in the main program
- Use #praga omp for above the for-loops
- In main():

```
double t0 = stop_watch(0);
#pragma omp parallel
for(int i=0; i<T; i++) {</pre>
```

• In update():

```
#pragma omp for
for(int y=0; y<L; y++) {
int y0L = L*y;
...
```

The final optimization will be to avoid forking and joining the OpenMP threads in each iteration.

- Use #pragma omp parallel before the T-loop in the main program
- Use #praga omp for above the for-loops
- In main():

```
double t0 = stop_watch(0);
#pragma omp parallel
for(int i=0; i<T; i++) {</pre>
```

• In update():

```
#pragma omp for
for(int y=0; y<L; y++) {
int y0L = L*y;
...
```

• In boundary_condition():

```
#pragma omp for
for(int y=0; y<L; y++) {
...
```

```
#pragma omp for
for(int x=0; x<L; x++) {</pre>
```

Compile and run with 16 OpenMP threads like before

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.046399 sec | p = 5.085e+01 Gflop/s | b = 1.364e+02 GB/s

Compile and run with 16 OpenMP threads like before

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.046399 sec | p = 5.085e+01 Gflop/s | b = 1.364e+02 GB/s

This is larger than our estimated peak, which means we are partially in cache

Compile and run with 16 OpenMP threads like before

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.046399 sec | p = 5.085e+01 Gflop/s | b = 1.364e+02 GB/s

This is larger than our estimated peak, which means we are partially in cache

• We will increase L to see when the bandwidth plateaus, indicating we are out of cache

Compile and run with 16 OpenMP threads like before

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.046399 sec | p = 5.085e+01 Gflop/s | b = 1.364e+02 GB/s

This is larger than our estimated peak, which means we are partially in cache

- We will increase L to see when the bandwidth plateaus, indicating we are out of cache
- For this benchmark, it helps to reduce T as we increase L so that the runtime doesn't explode

Compile and run with 16 OpenMP threads like before

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.046399 sec | p = 5.085e+01 Gflop/s | b = 1.364e+02 GB/s

This is larger than our estimated peak, which means we are partially in cache

- We will increase L to see when the bandwidth plateaus, indicating we are out of cache
- For this benchmark, it helps to reduce T as we increase L so that the runtime doesn't explode

```
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]$ for((i=1; i≤128; i*=2))
> do srun -n 1 -p p100 -- cpus-per-task=64 ./heat $((i*128)) $((64*16384/i/i)) 0.2
> done
                                                         p = 1.312e+01 Gflop/s
nthr = 16
             L = 128
                        T = 1048576
                                      t0 = 7.855353 sec
                                                                                b = 3.540e + 01 GB/s
nthr = 16
                  256
                        T = 262144
                                                         p = 5.106e+01 Gflop/s
                                                                               | b = 1.369e+02 GB/s
             L =
                                     t0 = 2.018945 sec
nthr = 16 | L = 512
                        T = 65536
                                     t0 = 1.296302 sec
                                                         p = 7.952e+01 Gflop/s | b = 2.127e+02 GB/s
nthr = 16 | L = 1024
                              16384
                                     t0 = 1.107996 sec
                                                         p = 9.303e+01 Gflop/s | b = 2.484e+02 GB/s
nthr = 16 | L = 2048
                              4096
                                                         p = 1.869e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.987e+01 GB/s
                                     t0 = 5.515507 sec
nthr = 16 |
            L = 4096
                               1024
                                     t0 = 6.591526 sec
                                                         p = 1.564e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.172e+01 GB/s
            L = 8192
                                256
                                     t0 = 6.398171 sec |
                                                         p = 1.611e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.297e+01 GB/s
nthr = 16
            L = 16384
                                     t0 = 6.227907 sec | p = 1.655e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.414e+01 GB/s
                                 64
nthr = 16 |
```

Compile and run with 16 OpenMP threads like before

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.046399 sec | p = 5.085e+01 Gflop/s | b = 1.364e+02 GB/s

This is larger than our estimated peak, which means we are partially in cache

- We will increase L to see when the bandwidth plateaus, indicating we are out of cache
- For this benchmark, it helps to reduce T as we increase L so that the runtime doesn't explode

```
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]$ for((i=1; i≤128; i*=2))
> do srun -n 1 -p p100 -- cpus-per-task=64 ./heat $((i*128)) $((64*16384/i/i)) 0.2
> done
                                                         p = 1.312e+01 Gflop/s
nthr = 16
             L = 128
                        T = 1048576
                                      t0 = 7.855353 sec
                                                                               b = 3.540e + 01 GB/s
nthr = 16
            L = 256
                        T = 262144
                                                         p = 5.106e+01 Gflop/s
                                                                              b = 1.369e+02 GB/s
                                     t0 = 2.018945 sec
nthr = 16 | L = 512 |
                        T = 65536
                                     t0 = 1.296302 sec
                                                         p = 7.952e+01 Gflop/s | b = 2.127e+02 GB/s
nthr = 16 | L = 1024
                              16384
                                     t0 = 1.107996 sec |
                                                         p = 9.303e+01 Gflop/s | b = 2.484e+02 GB/s
nthr = 16 | L = 2048
                        T = 4096
                                                         p = 1.869e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.987e+01 GB/s
                                     t0 = 5.515507 sec
nthr = 16 |
            L = 4096
                              1024
                                     t0 = 6.591526 sec
                                                         p = 1.564e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.172e+01 GB/s
            L = 8192
                                256
                                     t0 = 6.398171 sec |
                                                         p = 1.611e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.297e+01 GB/s
nthr = 16
            L = 16384
                                     t0 = 6.227907 sec | p = 1.655e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.414e+01 GB/s
                                 64
nthr = 16 |
```

Plateaus at ~45 GB/s or ~60% of peak bandwidth

Compile and run with 16 OpenMP threads like before

[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ cc -fopenmp -03 -o heat heat.c [ikoutsou@front02 ex01]\$ srun -n 1 --cpus-per-task=64 -p p100 ./heat 256 6000 0.2 nthr = 16 | L = 256 | T = 6000 | t0 = 0.046399 sec | p = 5.085e+01 Gflop/s | b = 1.364e+02 GB/s

This is larger than our estimated peak, which means we are partially in cache

- We will increase L to see when the bandwidth plateaus, indicating we are out of cache
- For this benchmark, it helps to reduce T as we increase L so that the runtime doesn't explode

```
[ikoutsou@front02 ex01]$ for((i=1; i≤128; i*=2))
> do srun -n 1 -p p100 -- cpus-per-task=64 ./heat $((i*128)) $((64*16384/i/i)) 0.2
> done
                                                         p = 1.312e+01 Gflop/s
nthr = 16 |
             L = 128
                        T = 1048576
                                      t0 = 7.855353 sec
                                                                                b = 3.540e + 01 GB/s
nthr = 16 |
            L = 256
                        T = 262144
                                                         p = 5.106e+01 Gflop/s
                                                                               | b = 1.369e+02 GB/s
                                      t0 = 2.018945 sec
nthr = 16 | L = 512 |
                        T = 65536
                                     t0 = 1.296302 sec |
                                                         p = 7.952e+01 Gflop/s | b = 2.127e+02 GB/s
nthr = 16 | L = 1024
                              16384
                                     t0 = 1.107996 sec |
                                                         p = 9.303e+01 Gflop/s | b = 2.484e+02 GB/s
nthr = 16 | L = 2048 |
                        T = 4096
                                                         p = 1.869e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.987e+01 GB/s
                                     t0 = 5.515507 sec
nthr = 16 |
            L = 4096
                               1024
                                     t0 = 6.591526 sec |
                                                         p = 1.564e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.172e+01 GB/s
             L = 8192
                                256
                                     t0 = 6.398171 sec |
                                                         p = 1.611e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.297e+01 GB/s
nthr = 16
nthr = 16 \mid L = 16384
                                     t0 = 6.227907 sec | p = 1.655e+01 Gflop/s | b = 4.414e+01 GB/s
                                 64
```

Plateaus at ~45 GB/s or ~60% of peak bandwidth

Cache size is 20 MB \Rightarrow can fit two arrays of 1024×1024 , but not 2048×2048

- As a general approach, we will add MPI parallelization along the y-axis as we did in lesson 9
- We would like to keep the non-MPI version. We will use #ifdef MPI ... #endif around the regions with MPI code
- This allows us to switch on MPI at compile time, e.g.:

```
ly = L;
#ifdef MPI
int nproc;
MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &nproc);
ly = L/nproc;
#endif
```

•••

- As a general approach, we will add MPI parallelization along the y-axis as we did in lesson 9
- We would like to keep the non-MPI version. We will use #ifdef MPI ... #endif around the regions with MPI code
- This allows us to switch on MPI at compile time, e.g.:

```
ly = L;
#ifdef MPI
int nproc;
MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &nproc);
ly = L/nproc;
#endif
```

• Compiling with cc -o heat heat.c will omit the code within ifdef ⇒ MPI_Comm_size() will not be called and ly will be equal to L

- As a general approach, we will add MPI parallelization along the y-axis as we did in lesson 9
- We would like to keep the non-MPI version. We will use #ifdef MPI ... #endif around the regions with MPI code
- This allows us to switch on MPI at compile time, e.g.:

```
ly = L;
#ifdef MPI
int nproc;
MPI_Comm_size(MPI_COMM_WORLD, &nproc);
ly = L/nproc;
#endif
```

- Compiling with cc -o heat heat.c will omit the code within ifdef
 ⇒ MPI_Comm_size() will not be called and ly will be equal to L
- Compiling with mpicc -DMPI -o heat heat.c will include the code within ifdef
 ⇒ MPI_Comm_size() will be called and ly will be equal to L/nproc

• One thing to take care of is calling MPI functions within omp parallel regions

- One thing to take care of is calling MPI functions within omp parallel regions
- Ensure only one OpenMP thread is calling MPI functions, e.g.:

#pragma omp single
 MPI_Sendrecv(...);

- One thing to take care of is calling MPI functions within omp parallel regions
- Ensure only one OpenMP thread is calling MPI functions, e.g.:

#pragma omp single MPI_Sendrecv(...);

• Another "trick" is to define nproc and rank and set them to nproc = 1 and rank = 0 outside the #ifdef MPI regions, so that the non-MPI code works when you have if(rank = 0) and similar

- Running this hybrid version needs some care. In general you need to ensure that:
 - An OpenMP thread is bound to a core
 - When using multiple OpenMP threads per MPI process, the mpirun command allocates multiple cores to each MPI process
- Achieving this depends on the MPI implementation (e.g. OpenMPI, MVAPICH, IntelMPI, etc.)
- Can be found looking at the manual pages or help output of the mpirun or mpiexec command

- Running this hybrid version needs some care. In general you need to ensure that:
 - An OpenMP thread is bound to a core
 - When using multiple OpenMP threads per MPI process, the mpirun command allocates multiple cores to each MPI process
- Achieving this depends on the MPI implementation (e.g. OpenMPI, MVAPICH, IntelMPI, etc.)
- Can be found looking at the manual pages or help output of the mpirun or mpiexec command
- Example for our specific use-case:

OMP_NUM_THREADS=16 mpirun -n 4 -npernode 2 --map-by slot:PE=16 ./heat 2048 100 0.2

- Run using 4 MPI processes
- mpirun is instructed to allocate 16 "slots" (here meaning cores) per MPI process
- 2 MPI processes will run on each physical node
- Each MPI process will spawn 16 OpenMP threads within omp parallel regions

- Running this hybrid version needs some care. In general you need to ensure that:
 - An OpenMP thread is bound to a core
 - When using multiple OpenMP threads per MPI process, the mpirun command allocates multiple cores to each MPI process
- Achieving this depends on the MPI implementation (e.g. OpenMPI, MVAPICH, IntelMPI, etc.)
- Can be found looking at the manual pages or help output of the mpirun or mpiexec command
- Example for our specific use-case:

OMP_NUM_THREADS=16 mpirun -n 4 -npernode 2 --map-by slot:PE=16 ./heat 2048 100 0.2

- Run using 4 MPI processes
- mpirun is instructed to allocate 16 "slots" (here meaning cores) per MPI process
- 2 MPI processes will run on each physical node
- Each MPI process will spawn 16 OpenMP threads within omp parallel regions
- You can also use -- report-bindings to see how each MPI process is bound

This report shows that for each MPI process, the 16 OpenMP threads are restricted to a different socket

Scaling plot of two cases, L=256 (left) and L=2048

Can this behavior be interpreted?

- L=256 fits in a single-socket's L3 cache
- L=2048 does not, but 2048 x 1024 does
- Average and error taken over 10 runs
- Setup:
 - $\circ \ n_{OMP} \times n_{MPI} \leqslant 16, \\ \Rightarrow \text{single-socket is used}$
 - $\begin{tabular}{l} \circ & n_{OMP} \times n_{MPI} = 32, \\ & \Rightarrow 1 \, \text{node, } 2 \, \text{sockets} \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$
 - When $n_{OMP} \times n_{MPI} = 64$, \Rightarrow 2 nodes, 2 sockets/node

